

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/074,020 02/14/2002		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
		2/14/2002	Kiyoshi Taniguchi	219501US0CONT	6207
22850	7590	06/17/2002			
		CCLELLAND M	EXAMINER		
	ERSON DAV	VIS HIGHWAY	COVINGTON, RAYMOND K		
AKLINGIC	ON, VA 222	202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1625	
				DATE MAILED: 06/17/2002	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/074,020

Applicant(s)

Taniguchi et al

Examiner

**Raymond Covington** 

Art Unit **1625** 



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
	for Reply						
THE N	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
mailing	mailing date of this communication.						
- If NO p - Failure - Any rep	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  The application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/2/02						
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)   ✓ This action	ion is non-final.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>	is/are pending in the application.					
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>	is/are rejected.					
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the de						
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	] All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:						
1	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.					
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No					
	application from the International Burea						
	*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) L The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachme	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)						
_	tice of Neterences Cited (P10-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:					
		of Collect.					

Application/Control Number: 10/074,020

Art Unit: 1625

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-14 of copending Application No. 09/646,878. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Borte teach the same propanolamino derivates

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

No claim is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,020

Art Unit: 1625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Covington whose telephone number is 703-308-4704. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9 am- 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Rotman can be reached on 703-308-4628. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3592 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

1

Covington: mv June 5, 2002 ALAN L. ROTMAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

alan L. Rotman

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600